

From: Mike Neas <mikneas@aol.com>

Date: February 1, 2023 at 8:03:42 AM MST

Subject: Wildlife feeding ordinance suggested change

Reply-To: Mike Neas <mikneas@aol.com>

Lieutenant Tomlinson. Thank you for your efforts on the Wildlife Feeding Ordinance. I have one suggestion that might be more legally inclusive should the legal definition status of any undomesticated animal change while the animals presence remains. Example; Placitas horses are currently determined by the NMCA to be undomesticated (absurd), but there are ongoing efforts to change that determination at the Legislature. Neither distinction removes the need for the feeding ordinance because the horses might still remain. The limitations of the current wording might hinder enforcement or not deter feeders who might question limitations in the definitions.

Mike Neas

C. "Wildlife" shall mean those undomesticated, **feral/domestic or escaped or released domestic**, animals that are unclaimed, wild, or stray or which belong to another person and which fall into the following taxonomic groups: